RTICLE IN P

Journal of Hazardous Materials xxx (2006) xxx–xxx

www.elsevier.com/locate/jhazmat

Journal of **Hazardous Materials**

Integrating process safety with molecular modeling-based risk assessment of chemicals within the REACH regulatory framework: Benefits and future challenges

Amanda Lewis, Nikolaos Kazantzis^{*}, Ilie Fishtik, Jennifer Wilcox

⁷ *Department of Chemical Engineering, Worcester Polytechnic Institute, Worcester, MA 01609-2280, USA*

⁹ **Abstract**

3

4 5

8

UNCORRECTED PROOF Registration, evaluation and authorization of chemicals (REACH) represents a recent regulatory initiative by the European union commission to protect human health and the environment from potentially hazardous chemicals. Under REACH, all stakeholders must submit (thermo)physical, thermochemical, and toxicological data for certain chemicals. The commission's impact assessment studies estimate that the costs of REACH will be approximately 3–5 billion Euros. The present study advocates the systematic incorporation of computational chemistry and computer-assisted chemical risk assessment methods into REACH to reduce regulatory compliance costs. Currently powerful computer-aided ab initio techniques can be used to generate predictions of key properties of broad classes of chemicals, without resorting to costly experimentation and potentially hazardous testing. These data could be integrated into a centralized IT decision and compliance support system, and stored in a retrievable, easily communicable manner should new regulatory and/or production requirements necessitate the introduction of different uses of chemicals under different conditions. For illustration purposes, ab initio calculations are performed on heterocyclic nitrogen-containing compounds which currently serve as high energy density materials in the chemical industry. Since investigations of these compounds are still in their infancy, stability studies are imperative regarding their safe handling and storage, as well as registration under REACH. 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20

²¹ © 2006 Published by Elsevier B.V.

²² *Keywords:* Chemicals regulation; Computational chemistry; Chemical process safety; Molecular modeling; Chemical risk

23

²⁴ **1. Introduction**

 Registration, evaluation and authorization of chemicals (REACH) form the acronym representing a recent complex regulatory and legislative initiative originally developed and introduced by the European union commission, that aims at protecting human health and the environment from potentially hazardous classes of chemicals. At the same time, REACH aims 31 at stimulating innovation and R&D activity towards the design of safer chemicals and processes, thus enhancing corporate respon- sibility, as well as promoting competition within the European chemical industry $[1-3]$. Given the inherent inefficiency and antinomies of the current regulatory framework for chemicals in Europe [\[1,2\], R](#page-9-0)EACH not only represents a comprehensive reg-ulatory policy framework for the management of chemical in the

² doi[:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2006.06.089](dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2006.06.089)

European union (EU), but is also compatible with World Trade 38 Organization (WTO) rules and directives. As a result REACH, 39 will eventually have a much broader impact on chemicals policy and regulation initiatives as they begin to be implemented ⁴¹ on a worldwide scale $[1-4]$. Indeed, REACH policies are going 42 to affect a quite broad group of manufacturers, importers and 43 downstream users of chemical substances [\[2\]. U](#page-9-1)nder the afore- ⁴⁴ mentioned regulatory framework, all stakeholders must submit 45 (thermo)physical, thermochemical, toxicological data, as well as ⁴⁶ the results of risk assessment studies for all chemicals involved 47 through the submission of detailed technical dossiers [\[2,3,5\].](#page-9-1) 48 The latter will be thoroughly evaluated by state authorities in all $_{49}$ member states of the European union, as well as by the newly $\frac{50}{50}$ established European chemical agency (ECA), and authorization 51 will be issued accordingly for the use and storage of the most 52 hazardous classes of chemicals $[2,3,5]$. In light of the new legis- $\frac{1}{53}$ lation and chemicals policy, various impact assessment studies 54 undertaken on behalf of the European commission provide esti- ⁵⁵ mates for the associated costs induced by REACH within the 56 range of $3-5$ billion Euros [\[6\].](#page-9-2) Particular emphasis is placed 57

[∗] Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 508 831 5666; fax: +1 508 831 5853. *E-mail addresses:* alewis@wpi.edu (A. Lewis), nikolas@wpi.edu

⁽N. Kazantzis), ifishtik@wpi.edu (I. Fishtik), jwilcox@wpi.edu (J. Wilcox).

^{0304-3894/\$ –} see front matter © 2006 Published by Elsevier B.V.

ARTICLE IN PRE

2 *A. Lewis et al. / Journal of Hazardous Materials xxx (2006) xxx–xxx*

⁵⁸ on the reduction of the associated regulatory compliance costs ⁵⁹ within the REACH framework for small to medium-sized enter-prises (SMEs) due to their limited resources [\[2,6\].](#page-9-1) Taking into 61 account the above considerations, the present study aims at the ⁶² development of a framework that advocates the systematic incor-⁶³ poration of process safety practices through the use of molecular modeling techniques in order to develop a cost-effective com-⁶⁵ prehensive computer-assisted chemical risk assessment scheme and integrate it into a centralized supervisory IT-system, the lat-⁶⁷ ter being the regulation support system administered by ECA and the European Chemicals Bureau (ECB).

Easy and the modeling technique can be used to the reader that modeling technique and be a moreor or
poposed approach, current powerful chemicals in the Parroll validate quantitative structure-activity and the management o According to the proposed approach, current powerful computer-aided molecular modeling techniques can be used in order to develop and validate quantitative structure-activity α relationships (QSARs) [\[7,8\],](#page-9-3) through which one could compu- tationally generate predictions of key (thermo)physical, ther- mochemical, and toxicological properties for broad classes of chemicals, as well as assess the associated chemical risks under different conditions without resorting to costly experimentation and potentially hazardous testing. In addition, the computer- based investigations will allow for the reduction of scientifically less sound trial-and-error type of risk assessment and manage- ment practices that could induce fines and unnecessary litigation. 81 The computationally generated data, QSARs and risk assess- ment results could be integrated into the centralized informa-83 tion management and regulation support system of ECA and ECB, as well as the overall compliance plan and IT-systems of corporations. Preferably, they would be stored in a format that renders the pertinent information retrievable; easily transferable/communicable while facilitating its flow between the various stakeholders should new regulatory and/or production requirements and strategic goals necessitate the introduction of different uses of chemicals under different conditions. Con- sequently, the preparation of the content of the detailed tech- nical dossiers and compliance to requirements under REACH becomes easier, cost-effective, operationally transparent and 94 amenable to adaptation to new market conditions and regulatory norms. Indeed, preliminary and rather promising results on the cost-saving potential of QSARs under REACH were 97 recently released, further corroborating the intuitive benefits of incorporating process safety and molecular modeling-based risk assessment of chemicals into the new regulatory frame- work [\[7–10\]. W](#page-9-3)ithin the above context and in order to illustrate the proposed approach, molecular modeling investigations based upon quantum mechanics are performed on a heterocyclic nitro- gen compound that has recently emerged in the literature due to its promise of serving as a high energy density material (HEDM) in the chemical industry. Since investigations of heterocyclic nitrogen compounds of this type are still in their infancy, sta- bility studies are imperative so that knowledge can be gained regarding their safe handling and storage, as well as their regis- tration under REACH. The present work is the first to examine the formation enthalpy of this novel compound from a theoret- ical perspective. Future work will involve the examination of other emerging HEDMs in the literature.

¹¹³ The present paper is organized as follows: Section [2](#page-1-0) contains ¹¹⁴ a description of the main features, structure and requirements of the new regulatory framework and policy for chemical sub- ¹¹⁵ stances in the EU known as REACH, as well as the main results $_{116}$ and findings of recent impact assessment studies on the chemical 117 industry. A few thoughts and ideas on integrating process safety $_{118}$ and molecular modeling-based risk assessment of chemicals 119 within REACH, along with the associated benefits and future 120 challenges are presented in Section [3.](#page-3-0) The proposed ideas are 121 illustrated through a molecular modeling case study in Section $_{122}$ [4, f](#page-5-0)ollowed by some concluding remarks are in Section [5.](#page-8-0)

2. REACH: a new regulatory and policy framework for ¹²⁴ **chemicals in the European union** 125

It is widely recognized, that the current regulatory framework $_{126}$ for the management of chemicals in Europe is inadequate and 127 inefficient $[1-3]$. In particular, it has not resulted in sufficient 128 information or sound chemical risk assessment practices per- ¹²⁹ taining to the effects of certain chemicals on human health and 130 the environment. Furthermore, whenever the associated risks of $_{131}$ these substances have been identified, the implementation of 132 risk management measures has been unacceptably slow $[1-3]$. 133 Furthermore, the current framework has adversely affected pat-
134 terns of research activity and innovation, causing the European 135 chemical industry to lag behind its main counterparts in the US 136 and Japan $[1-3]$.

The currently used regulatory framework makes a clear distinction between the so-called existing and new chemicals. 139 Approximately 100,000 chemicals have been introduced to the ¹⁴⁰ global market before 1981 and are termed as existing chemicals, ¹⁴¹ with approximately 3000 been introduced after 1981 and termed as new ones [1,2]. While new chemicals have to undergo exten- ¹⁴³ sive testing before entrance into the market, there are no such 144 provisions and comprehensive directives for existing chemicals. ¹⁴⁵ The current regulatory framework in the EU requires information on only high volume existing chemicals to be submitted 147 and only public authorities in member states are responsible to 148 determine which of them need further examination $[1-3]$. As a 149 result, these procedures have been proven to be bureaucratically tedious and inefficient. Current legislation requires manufac- ¹⁵¹ turers and importers of chemicals to provide information on 152 the chemicals they use and store, but does not impose similar 153 obligations on downstream users (such as industrial users and formulators) unless the substance is classified [\[1,2\]. C](#page-9-0)learly, reli- ¹⁵⁵ able information on the uses of chemical substances is currently $_{156}$ difficult to obtain and information about exposure associated 157 with downstream uses of chemicals is generally scarce. Within 158 the existing framework, new chemicals ought to be notified 159 and tested in production volumes as low as 10 kg/year . This 160 has inhibited R&D activities, undermined invention efforts for 161 new substances, and stifled innovation in the European chemical 162 industry, encouraging the continued use of existing chemicals 163 that current regulation compliance requirements render easier to 164 use and less costly $[1,2]$.

In light of the aforementioned remarks, a revision of the cur- ¹⁶⁶ rent legislative framework for chemicals in the EU becomes ¹⁶⁷ imperative. In response to this need, the EU commission intro- ¹⁶⁸ duced a preliminary White Paper [\[1\],](#page-9-0) which outlined the main 169

ARTICLE IN PRES

 strategic goals and policy measures for the development of a new regulatory framework for chemicals in Europe. This new ambitious piece of proposed legislation became known under the acronym REACH (registration, evaluation and autho- rization of chemicals). Following extensive consultations with major stakeholders, including governments, industry and non- governmental organizations (NGOs), a comprehensive piece of legislation emerged on 29 October 2003 through the com- mission's initiatives and put forward for consideration by the European Parliament and Council for possible adoption under the so-called co-decision procedure [\[2\].](#page-9-1) The commission's pro- posal represents an ambitious model of sustainable development by simultaneously pursuing objectives along three main axes: economic (industrial competitiveness), social (public health pro- tection and job creation), and environmental. The proposal also represents a visible piece of evidence of a growing trend towards increasing corporate responsibility on global regulation require- ments, as well as industry-led evaluation and understanding of the risks of chemical exposure and the associated effects on the environment.

not procedure [=1]. In commissions are provided in the costs individual policities along three main axes:

extrained by statistical time the costs individuals in the costs in the costs in the costs in the proposal also met At this point, let us present the most salient features of REACH[\[2\]. I](#page-9-1)n the EU, all chemical substances that are manufac- tured or imported in volumes exceeding one metric tonne on an annual basis per manufacturer or importer (tonnage) must be reg- istered. The registration procedure requires the submission of a technical dossier which contains fundamental information on the chemical's (thermo)physical, thermochemical, and toxicologi- cal properties and uses. It is important to notice that all dossiers will be evaluated and checked. When this procedure is complete, the chemical is considered to be registered and can continue to be used until further evaluation is deemed appropriate. One could single out two special classes of chemical substances that are exempt from current REACH registration requirements for rather obvious reasons: chemical substances solely used and stored for R&D purposes and polymers. Under the proposed legislation, a European chemical agency (ECA) will be estab- lished in Helsinki, Finland that will undertake the management of the technical, scientific and administrative aspects of REACH and the data-base of chemical information. The ECA will also ensure that REACH functions well and maintains its credibility and transparency with all stakeholders.

 Chemical substances that are manufactured in volumes exceeding 100 metric tonnes per year will be evaluated by state authorities in EU member states and appropriate institutions, who may ask for additional testing and risk assessment stud- ies to be conducted. The newly established ECA will ensure consistency across institutions and state agencies in member states during the evaluation process. The ECA will also provide the requisite IT-capacity and communication protocols for data sharing in order to minimize costs. Furthermore, under REACH, certain chemical substances which are characterized as "sub- stances of very high concern" (carcinogenic mutagenic and toxic to reproduction; persistent bio-accumulative and toxic; persis- tent organic pollutants) ought to be authorized for specific uses and conditions.

²²⁵ An integral part of the October 2003 REACH proposal per-²²⁶ tains to the need of a comprehensive extended impact assessment of the new regulatory framework and the induced cost structure 227 on the competitiveness and innovation capacity of the European ₂₂₈ chemical industry $[6]$. Over 40 impact assessment studies have $_{229}$ been carried out and made a significant contribution towards a 230 better assessment and understanding of the changes needed in 231 order to achieve a balanced and workable solution for REACH. 232 Let us now briefly examine the main findings that resulted from 233 these studies, starting with the regulatory compliance cost struc- ²³⁴ ture. The direct costs induced by REACH are estimated to be 235 within the range of $3-5.2$ billion Euros over the first 11 years 236 after the entry into force of the new regulatory framework $[6,11]$. 237 While the costs induced by the new regulatory framework are 238 certainly real, all impact assessment studies suggest that they ²³⁹ are also manageable $[6,11]$. Further improvement of the testing $_{240}$ methods through the development of more efficient practices 241 will result in additional cost reduction. On the other hand, all 242 these studies have also shown that the benefits associated with $_{243}$ REACH are substantial $[6,11]$. In agreement with world bank $_{244}$ estimates, these studies indicate that the positive public health 245 and occupational impact of REACH will lead to potential health 246 benefits and savings evaluated at approximately 50 billion Euros 247 over a 30-year period due to the reduced burden associated with ²⁴⁸ various diseases caused by chemicals.

It should be pointed out, that SMEs can be particularly ²⁵⁰ affected by REACH due to their limited financial capacity, ²⁵¹ resources and weaker market position that can pose major chal- ²⁵² lenges to their regulatory compliance efforts [\[6\].](#page-9-2) However, 253 SMEs play a strategically important role in the EU economy 254 and the European chemical industry. In light of this recogni- ²⁵⁵ tion, REACH has already introduced lighter requirements since ²⁵⁶ most SMEs are likely to fall into the category of downstream 257 users. Moreover, SMEs that produce substances are likely to find ²⁵⁸ themselves within the lower tonnage bands, on which lighter reg- ²⁵⁹ ulatory requirements are imposed. Innovative research-oriented 260 SMEs could also take advantage of the exemption scheme for 261 R&D-used chemicals offered by REACH. Finally, the benefits associated with the development of a comprehensive user- ²⁶³ friendly IT-support system that will be administered by ECA 264 (and developed in consultation with all stakeholders) will be ²⁶⁵ considerable. 266

The regulatory compliance cost structure and the aforementioned findings of the various impact assessment studies of ²⁶⁸ REACH provide ample motivation for the development of new 269 approaches. These approaches could improve the cost efficiency 270 of the new regulatory framework while maintaining the overall ²⁷¹ objectives of REACH. In the present paper, the incorporation ²⁷² of process safety practices and molecular modeling-based risk ²⁷³ assessment techniques for chemical substances within REACH ²⁷⁴ is advocated as a potential means to enhance its cost efficiency, 275 functionality, transparency, and most importantly, improve and ²⁷⁶ strengthen the scientific/technical basis of a comprehensive 277 chemicals policy. In the following section, it is argued that the $_{278}$ above approach may entail considerable benefits to the adoption 279 and actual implementation of REACH, and at the same time, ²⁸⁰ pose interesting challenges and opportunities for further reflec- ²⁸¹ tion towards the constant refinement and improvement of the 282 new chemicals policy.

+ Model

ARTICLE IN PRI

4 *A. Lewis et al. / Journal of Hazardous Materials xxx (2006) xxx–xxx*

²⁸³ **3. Integrating process safety and molecular modeling** ²⁸⁴ **within REACH: benefits and future challenges**

 It is now widely recognized that knowledge of the hazards and risks posed to human health and the environment by broad classes of existing chemicals is unacceptably poor, incomplete and inconsistent $[1-3]$. Even a significant fraction of High Pro- duction Volume Chemicals (HPVCs) have not been subjected to systematic testing and risk assessment. As a result poten- tial hazards associated with the production, use, and storage of HPVC's cannot be carefully evaluated or properly managed [\[1–3,5\].](#page-9-0) The situation appears to be even more problematic in the cases of new chemicals, including non-HPVCs, for which the lack of data on property characterization and risk assessment 296 has reached alarming levels $[1-3,5]$. Consequently, there is an immediate need to develop a comprehensive chemicals policy framework that ensures the intensification of regulatory com- pliance efforts and the systematic generation of sound scientific data for new and existing chemical substances. This is precisely one of the basic tenets and main objectives of REACH. The ben- efits associated with the generation of reliable scientific data are two-fold:

 (i) They enable a more insightful and thorough risk assess- ment of chemicals to be conducted that would lead to the development of the most appropriate and cost-effective risk management measures ensuring the safe use and storage of chemical substances.

(ii) They partly eliminate and confidently address the uncer- tainties associated with the specification of the proper 311 level of protection of human health and the environ- ment by strengthening the decision- and policy-making process, avoiding unnecessary "conservativeness" in their respective frameworks, as well as costly layers of "over-regulation".

³¹⁶ Typically, the type of data needed to be generated in order to 317 serve the main policy objectives of an ambitious framework ³¹⁸ such as REACH could be classified into three main categories ³¹⁹ [\[12–14\]:](#page-9-4)

- ³²⁰ (i) Data pertaining to key (thermo)physical and thermochemical properties of substances such as flammability, explosiv-³²² ity, vapor pressure, auto-ignition temperature, calorimetric ³²³ and thermodynamic properties, etc.
- ³²⁴ (ii) Data pertaining to the biological activity of chemical sub-³²⁵ stances such as carcinogenicity, toxicity, mutagenicity, and ³²⁶ reproductive toxicity, etc.
- ³²⁷ (iii) Data associated with the ecological effects and environ-³²⁸ mental fate of chemical substances such as aquatic toxicity, degradation, bioaccumulation, soil and sediment sorption, 330 etc.
- 331 The above data are customarily generated through [\[12–14\]:](#page-9-4)
- ³³² (i) Laboratory tests and experimental studies by resorting to animal testing (in vivo) and/or cell cultures (in vitro).

(ii) The establishment of qualitative structure-activity relation- ³³³ ships $(SARs)$ or quantitative structure-activity relationships 334 $(OSARs)$. 335

Extended to the properties the constant interaction of properties and the constant in the constant in the constant in the constant in the state of the state in the method of a compensation of regulatory chemical and biolog In the present study the focus is placed on QSARs and the 336 role of molecular modeling techniques in their establishment and 337 validation. QSARs also have the potential to reduce regulatory compliance costs and animal testing under REACH. For these 339 reasons, let us view QSARs as mathematical representations ³⁴⁰ through which quite complex relationships between intrinsic ³⁴¹ molecular structural characteristics of a substance and its chemi- ³⁴² cal and biological activity can be modeled $[7,9,10]$. The intrinsic $\frac{343}{2}$ molecular characteristics that define the structure of a chemical 344 substance play the role of "independent variables" often called 345 molecular descriptors. The data associated with the observed 346 chemical and biological activity/behavior of substances (please 347 see the above classification of different types of data) represent 348 the values of the "dependent variables" of QSARs [\[7,9,10,14\].](#page-9-3) ³⁴⁹ It should be pointed out, that the values of descriptors can be obtained either through experimental studies (which are non- ³⁵¹ trivial and quite often technically impossible) or calculated with ³⁵² the aid of currently available software packages that allow a 353 thorough quantum-mechanical description and insightful molec- ³⁵⁴ ular modeling of the chemical of interest $[7-10,14,15]$. Typical 355 examples of molecular descriptors are dipole moment, charge-
₃₅₆ bond strength, delocalizability index, mid-point potential, high-
₃₅₇ est positive and negative charge, highest and lowest molecular ₃₅₈ orbitals, etc [9,10]. Using molecular descriptor data for chemical 359 substances and data obtained through direct observation, OSARs 360 can be developed by applying techniques such as regression analysis, neural networks (typically back-propagation modeling 362 methods) and various classification methods [\[14\]. A](#page-9-6) preliminary 363 QSAR is typically developed on the basis of a training set of ³⁶⁴ data, and later verified using a validation set of data. It should be emphasized that data obtained using computational chemistry 366 and molecular modeling techniques are systematically used for 367 both training and validation purposes when QSRAs are devel-
s68 oped [9,10,14]. Having developed and appropriately validated 369 QSARs, the benefits engendered by their use are two-fold: ³⁷⁰

- (i) Predictions can be generated about the chemical and bio- ³⁷¹ logical activity of substances. These can then be adopted for chemical management, risk assessment, classification and 373 labeling purposes, and become naturally integrated into a 374 regulatory framework such as REACH. 375
- (ii) Useful information will be able to be extracted on how facets 376 of chemical and biological activity are affected by specific 377 inherent structural (molecular) characteristics of the sub- ³⁷⁸ stance under consideration. 379

The above advantages become even more pronounced in the 380 case of untested and poorly characterized chemical substances 381 that need to be registered and carefully managed under REACH. 382 They also apply in cases where new safer substances need to be 383 designed and produced. 384

Let us now consider, in a more concrete manner, the bene-
s85 fits that can be drawn by integrating the use of computational 386

ARTICLE IN PRES

A. Lewis et al. / Journal of Hazardous Materials xxx (2006) xxx–xxx 5

sign or assossment means or

could significantly reduce and control the estiency of criteria leads.
The cost structure under REACH. Both E[U](#page-1-0) scientific practices are the Institute for Health and Consumer would bring the bre chemistry, molecular modeling and QSARs into the overall reg- ulatory framework of REACH. In accordance to article 23 of the proposed regulatory and policy framework of REACH, ver- tebrate animal testing should be viewed only as a last resort for the attainment of the main registration and evaluation objec- tives [\[2\]. R](#page-9-1)ecent analysis performed by ECB scientists suggests that approximately 3.9 million additional animal tests could be potentially used in order to comply with REACH regulation requirements if alternative approaches are not pursued [\[7,8\]. A](#page-9-3)s mentioned in Section [2, t](#page-1-0)he pursuit of alternative cost-effective, scientifically sound testing, and risk assessment methods for chemical substances could significantly reduce and control the regulatory compliance cost structure under REACH. Both EU authorities and ECB quickly responded to an initiative and pro- posal put forward by the Institute for Health and Consumer Protection (IHCP) for the development of intelligent testing strategies (ITS) [\[16\]. I](#page-9-7)TS will form a new comprehensive frame- work aiming at making current testing practices cost-effective and less demanding on the number of animal tests needed. This can be attained by promoting an integrated testing scheme that rationally uses a multitude of alternative approaches, where computational chemistry and QSARs will have a prominent role [\[16\].](#page-9-7) Emphasis is placed on the need for more coordinated efforts between industry and regulatory authorities on the devel- opment, validation and use of QSARs in the spirit promoted by the REACH legislation and the paradigm of increasing corporate responsibility that it advocates [7,8,14]. Besides the potential of significantly reducing the number of animal tests, computational chemistry and QSARs exhibit the potential to rationalize (and quite often expedite) testing, priority setting and risk assessment procedures for chemical substances. This is done by eliminating the need for additional tests under certain conditions and/or pro- viding scientifically supported guidance towards the selection of the appropriate testing methods and risk management measures. Preliminary results of recent studies undertaken by ECB suggest that 1.3–1.9 million test animals could be saved if QSARs are adopted, and substantial cost savings of the order of 1 billion Euros could be achieved through the above ITS scheme [7,8]. The latter figure far exceeds the estimated 10 million Euros cost associated with industry developing its own QSARs and docu-427 menting them through the IT-support system [7,8].

 One could mention the opportunity for the enhancement of the innovation capacity of the chemical industry in alignment with the special incentives provided by the REACH legisla- tion to design and synthesize new and safer chemicals. This is a task that could significantly be facilitated through computa- tional chemistry techniques and a judicious use of QSARs. These can be proven to be advantageous in cases where certain sub- stance withdrawal and extensive reformulation becomes likely under REACH, and innovation is critical for the introduction of new substances and risk management methods into the market. Studies mentioned in Section 2 suggest that there are additional benefits associated with the use of computational chemistry. Fur- thermore, certain SMEs can benefit by the use of computational chemistry tools and QSARs, thus reducing costs, eliminating redundant testing, and rationalizing risk management practices under REACH requirements.

The integration of computational chemistry, molecular modeling and QSARs under the REACH framework poses consid- ⁴⁴⁵ erable scientific, technical, implementation and legislative chal- ⁴⁴⁶ lenges. The latter fall beyond the scope of the present paper. The 447 first major challenge pertains to various validation procedures 448 for QSARs developed with the aid of computational chemistry 449 that can be universally accepted by decision-makers and reg- ⁴⁵⁰ ulation authorities as reliable and practically useful $[7,8,14]$. $\overline{451}$ The organization for economic co-operation and development 452 (OECD) made the first attempt to address these challenges [\[17\].](#page-9-8) ⁴⁵³ Even though OECD ensured homogeneity of standards and con- ⁴⁵⁴ sistency of criteria by explicitly advocating the use of sound 455 scientific practices and methods $[17]$, the above efforts have not 456 yet resulted in a practical, transparent validation framework that 457 would bring the broadest possible consensus amongst policy 458 makers, various QSAR users and regulators $[14,18]$. The above 459 project should receive immediate priority since QSARs (and the ⁴⁶⁰ associated computational chemistry tools) could be directly used 461 to support decision-making and regulatory actions in the man- ⁴⁶² agement of chemicals $[12,13,18]$. They need to exhibit relative 463 simplicity in generating predictions, and the domain of their 464 validity, their prediction uncertainty and degree of reliability 465 concerning certain classes of chemicals must be reported in an ⁴⁶⁶ unambiguous manner as well [\[14,18\]. S](#page-9-6)tatistical methods used 467 for the development and validation of OSARs need to become 468 available in order to ensure transparency and allow future refine- ⁴⁶⁹ ments and extensions. Critical to the above efforts, would be the 470 recognition that QSARs developed for the prediction of health 471 effects of chemicals substantially differ from the ones used for 472 the prediction of ecological and environmental effects due to 473 the fundamental differences in the nature of the respective end- ⁴⁷⁴ points, the associated data as well as the availability of reliable 475 dose– or exposure–response relationships [\[12,13,18\].](#page-9-4) 476

A major future challenge related to a cost-effective implementation of the REACH regulatory framework is the development and design of a comprehensive user-friendly IT decisionsupport system. It would require access by both industry and 480 regulatory authorities, and facilitate their respective decision- ⁴⁸¹ making process $[2,16]$. The decision-support system should be 482 supported and centrally administered by an independent organi-
483 zation whose neutrality would ensure transparency and fairness 484 to all stakeholders involved. The system, while administered by 485 ECA, will be scientifically and technically supported by ECB as 486 well $[2,16]$. Preliminary efforts are already in progress and made 487 under the "umbrella" of the so-called REACH-IT project, whose 488 primary aim is the design of an IT-support system that efficiently 489 serves the main regulation requirements of REACH by engag- 490 ing industry, regulatory authorities and other decision-makers 491 in the chemicals legislation domain. Currently, that main soft-
 492 ware tools that support decision-making and risk assessment of 493 chemical substances in the EU are the European chemical sub- ⁴⁹⁴ stances information system (ESIS), the International Uniform 495 Chemicals Information Database (IUCLID) and the European ⁴⁹⁶ union system for the evaluation of substances (EUSES) [\[16\].](#page-9-7) 497 They all would require refinement in order to support the new 498 REACH regulation requirements, become integrated into the 499 overall REACH-IT structure, and reflect the new realities in 500

RTICI.

6 *A. Lewis et al. / Journal of Hazardous Materials xxx (2006) xxx–xxx*

 the European regulatory landscape for chemicals [\[16\].](#page-9-7) A spe- cific QSAR decision-support system needs to be developed and become accessible through the internet. Such a decision-support system will become an indispensable part of the overall REACH- IT platform and ECB has already formed a working group to study and address the above problem and the associated chal- lenges[\[16\]. I](#page-9-7)t becomes apparent that further challenges lie ahead as the new IT and decision-support system for REACH should also facilitate communication and ensure uninterrupted flow of information along the supply chain in order to reduce regulatory compliance costs. The technical challenge becomes the prob- lem of harmonization of different data formats that could be exchanged between various platforms and IT decision-support ⁵¹⁴ systems.

⁵¹⁵ **4. The theoretical prediction of the thermochemical** ⁵¹⁶ **property, formation enthalpy: determining the stability** ⁵¹⁷ **of emerging heterocyclic nitrogen compounds**

 Ab initio investigations were carried out at the G3 level of theory [\[19\]](#page-9-9) and the isodesmic approach [20] was employed for the theoretical prediction of the formation enthalpy for the heterocyclic nitrogen compound, 3,6-di(azido)-1,2,4,5-tetrazine (C₂N₁₀). These thermochemical predictions allow for the devel- opment of QSARs from which the stability of these emerging high energy density materials (HEDM) can be determined. All molecular orbital calculations were carried out using Gaussian 98 and Gaussian 03 software packages [21].

G3 theory developed by Curtiss et al. [19], was chosen to cal- culate the unknown heat of formation of C_2N_{10} . It is an improved version of G2 and is more accurate when calculating heats of formation [\[19,22\].](#page-9-9) More specifically, G3 has been successful in prediction heats of formation data for compounds contain- ing a significant number of carbon, nitrogen, and oxygen atoms [\[19,22\]. S](#page-9-9)ince the current work concerns a compound containing 2 carbon atoms and 10 nitrogen atoms, this composite method was a logical choice for maximizing the accuracy of the theoret- ical predictions. Not only is the G3 theory computationally less expensive than G2, CCSD(T), and QCISD(T) levels of theory, but it also uses considerably less computational time due to the changing basis sets [\[19,23–26\].](#page-9-9)

G3 theory begins with an optimized geometry calculation for $\frac{540}{2}$ the species of interest the second order Moller Plesset pertur- ⁵⁴¹ bation theory, MP2, and then uses this optimized geometry for $\frac{542}{2}$ calculating single-point energies (SPE) at higher levels of the- ⁵⁴³ ory, e.g.,MP4, QCISD(T), and HF [\[19\]. T](#page-9-9)he optimized geometry ⁵⁴⁴ calculation was carried out using the MP2(FU) method with the $\frac{545}{2}$ $6-31G(d)$ basis set. "FU" refers to "full" and insinuates that all $_{546}$ of the electrons are included in the electron correlation calcula- ⁵⁴⁷ tion. Electron correlation becomes important when considering $_{548}$ second-row atoms such as carbon and nitrogen [\[19,27\].](#page-9-9)

The following SPE calculations are performed on the 550 MP2(FU)/6-31G(d) optimized geometry of the hetero- ⁵⁵¹ cyclic C_2N_{10} compound: MP4(FC)/6-31G(d), MP4(FC)/6- 552 $31 + G(d)$, MP4(FC)/6-31G(2df, p), QCISD(T, FC)/6-31G(d), 553 and MP2(full)/G3Large. "FC" refers to "frozen core" and 554 implies that inner-shells are excluded from the electron correla-

₅₅₅ tion calculation, making the calculations less time consuming. ⁵⁵⁶ The G3Large basis set is an extended Pople basis set which 557 includes both polarization and diffuse functions [\[19\].](#page-9-9) These 558 energies are presented in [Table 1.](#page-5-1) 559

Table 1 also lists the three correction factors that are considered in the G3 theory, i.e. spin-orbit (SO) correction, higher 561 level correction (HLC), and zero-point energy (ZPE) correc- ⁵⁶² tion. Previous studies have shown that molecular SO correction 563 provides no overall improvement in the accuracy of energy ⁵⁶⁴ calculations [19]. The compound of focus, C_2N_{10} and all the 565 reference species are molecules making the SO correction neg- ⁵⁶⁶ ligible. The HLC is calculated using the following equation: 567

$$
-An_{\beta}-B(n_{\alpha}-n_{\beta}) \quad \text{or} \quad -Cn_{\beta}-D(n_{\alpha}-n_{\beta}) \tag{1}
$$

where n_β and n_α are the numbers of β and α valence electrons, 569 respectively, *A* the correction for paired electrons in molecules, $\frac{570}{2}$ *B* the correction for unpaired electrons in molecules, *C* the correction for the paired electrons in atoms, and D is the correction 572 for unpaired electrons in atoms.

The total G3 energy, E_0 , is calculated through the evaluation 574 of (2) , 575

$$
E_0(G3) = E[MP4(FC)/6-31G(d)] + \Delta(+) + \Delta(2df, p)
$$

$$
+\Delta (QCI) + \Delta + \Delta (HLC) + ZPE \tag{2}
$$

G3 energy contributions and total energies for reference species and C_2N_{10} in Hartrees

^a Due to the computational expense of the SPE calculations for C_2N_{10} the G3 theory was modified as detailed in the text.

+ Model

A. Lewis et al. / Journal of Hazardous Materials xxx (2006) xxx–xxx 7

$$
S78 \text{ where}
$$
\n
$$
\Delta(+) = E[\text{MP4(FC)/6-31 + G - MP4(FC)/6-31G(d)]} \qquad (3)
$$
\n
$$
S89 \Delta(2df, p) = E[\text{MP4(FC)/6-31G(2df, p)} - \text{MP4(FC)/6-31G(d)]} \qquad (4)
$$
\n
$$
S82 \Delta(QCI) = E[QCISD(T, FC)/6-31G(d)] \qquad (583)
$$

 $-MP4(FC)/6-31G(d)]$ (5)

587 $\Delta = E[\text{MP2(FU)/G3Large - MP2(FC)/6-31(2df, p)}]$

$$
- MP2(FC)/6-31 + G(d) + MP2(FC)/6-31G(d) \tag{6}
$$

 All calculations for the reference species were carried out using Gaussian 03, while supercomputing resources equipped with Gaussian 98 were employed for the compound of interest, C₂N₁₀ [\[21\].](#page-9-11) The computing requirements to carry out the G3 SPE calculations on C_2N_{10} were exceeded and modifications to both ab initio methods and basis sets were implemented as ⁵⁹⁶ follows:

⁵⁹⁷ MP4(FC)/6-31G(d)//MP2(FU)/6-31G(d) $_{598}$ \rightarrow MP4SDQ(FC)/6-31G(d)//MP2(FU)/6-31G(d) (7)

600 MP4(FC)/6-31 + G(d)//MP2(FU)/6-31G(d)

$$
\underset{\text{602}}{\rightarrow} \ \mathrm{MP4SDQ(FC)/6-31} + \mathrm{G(d)//MP2(FU)/6-31G(d)} \quad (8)
$$

⁶⁰³ MP4(FC)/6-31G(2df, p)//MP2(FU)/6-31G(d)

$$
{}_{604} \rightarrow MP4SDQ(FC)/6-31+G(p,d)//MP2(FU)/6-31G(d)
$$

 $\frac{605}{606}$ (9)

$$
\begin{array}{lll}\n\text{607} & \text{QCISD}(T, FC)/6-31G(d)//MP2(FU)/6-31G(d) \\
\text{608} & \rightarrow \text{QCISD}(T)/6-31G///MP2(FU)/6-31G(d) \\
\text{609} & \text{609}\n\end{array}\n\tag{10}
$$

SLarge – MP2(FC)/6-31(2df, p)

into the reference species were carried other is each of the reference species were carried out

if + G(d) + MP2(FC)/6-31(3d) (6)

or the reference species were carried out
 $\rho = \sum_{i=1}^{q} v_i$ For the SPE calculations (7) and (8), the basis set size was consistent, but the fourth order perturbation theory, MP4 was carried out to include single, double, and quadruple excita- tions, neglecting the triple excitations. MP4, also known as MP4SDTQ, is more computationally rigorous since it also includes the triple excitations [28]. The basis set for the SPE calculation [\(9\)](#page-6-2) was reduced by an f polarization function on each of the carbon and nitrogen atoms in C_2N_{10} , but increased by an additional diffuse function on each of these atoms. For the SPE calculation [\(10\), Q](#page-6-3)CISD was carried out fully, including all electrons in the correlation energy, and the basis set used was reduced by a d polarization function on each of the carbon and nitrogen atoms of C_2N_{10} . The total theoretically predicted G3 energies are converted to heats of formation using the experi- mentally available formation enthalpies of the reference species via the isodesmic approach.

⁶²⁶ The total ab initio enthalpies of the species are usually con-⁶²⁷ verted into enthalpies of formation employing various reaction ⁶²⁸ schemes such as atomization [\[29\], i](#page-9-13)sodesmic [\[20\], h](#page-9-10)omodesmic [\[30\],](#page-9-14) bond separation [\[31\],](#page-10-0) group equivalent [\[32\],](#page-10-1) group addi- 629 tivity [\[33\],](#page-10-2) ring conserved isodesmic reactions [\[34\],](#page-10-3) etc. The 630 procedure is illustrated next employing the isodesmic reaction 631 schemes. Let B_0 be the species for which the ab initio enthalpy 632 of formation is sought. Based on the structure of B_0 , i.e., type 633 of bonds, a set of molecules B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_q referred to as reference species is selected such that: (a) ideally, the experimental $\frac{1}{635}$ enthalpies of formation of B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_q are known with high 636 accuracy, and (b) the species B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_q involve all of the 637 bonds present in B_0 . Normally, the number of species q is such 638 that only one reaction that preserves the type and number of $\epsilon_{0.89}$ bonds, and, referred to as isodesmic reaction may be generated. 640 Let this reaction be: 641

$$
\rho = \sum_{i=1}^{q} v_i B_i + v_0 B_0 = 0 \tag{11}
$$

where the stoichiometric coefficients are assumed to be positive $_{643}$ for products and negative for reactants. Let $\Delta H_{\text{f},i}^{\text{exp}}$ (*i* = 1, 2, 644) \ldots , *q*) be the experimental enthalpies of the reaction enthalpy 645 changes expressed via the enthalpies of formation and total ab 646 $\frac{1}{647}$ initio enthalpies

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{q} \nu_i \,\Delta H_{\text{f},i}^{\text{exp}} + \nu_0 \,\Delta H_{\text{f},0}^{ai} = \sum_{i=1}^{q} \nu_i H_i^{ai} + \nu_0 H_0^{ai}
$$
 (12) 648

 $This gives$ 649

$$
\Delta H_{\rm f,0}^{ai} = \frac{1}{\nu_0} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{q} v_i H_i^{ai} + v_0 H_0^{ai} - \sum_{i=1}^{q} v_i \, \Delta H_{\rm f,i}^{\rm exp} \right) \tag{13}
$$

To improve the accuracy in the enthalpy of formation of the $_{651}$ species B_0 it is desirable to choose a larger set of reference ϵ_{52} species. In this case, however, the number of possible isodesmic 653 reactions involving B_0 and reference species exceeds one. Since $\overline{654}$ there are no rules to select chemical reactions in a complex, $\frac{655}{655}$ multiple chemical reaction system, one has to face the prob- ⁶⁵⁶ lem of arbitrariness of chemical reactions. The problem may 657 be fixed employing the concept of stoichiometric uniqueness of $_{658}$ chemical reactions. According to this concept only the shortest $_{659}$ reactions are allowed. By "shortest" it is meant that if a species 660 is eliminated from a reaction, there is no way to balance the 661 reaction employing only the remaining species. Such reactions 662 were deduced from chemical thermodynamics and were called 663 response reactions (RERs) [\[35\].](#page-10-4) Thus, in this general case, the 664 procedure may be briefly summarized as follows. Our starting 665 point is the so-called bond matrix: 666

$$
\pi = \begin{bmatrix}\nP_1 & P_2 & \dots & P_s \\
\pi_{01} & \pi_{02} & \dots & \pi_{0s} \\
\pi_{11} & \pi_{12} & \dots & \pi_{1s} \\
\pi_{21} & \pi_{22} & \dots & \pi_{2s} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\pi_{q1} & \pi_{q2} & \dots & \pi_{qs}\n\end{bmatrix}\n\begin{bmatrix}\nB_0 \\
B_1 \\
B_2 \\
\vdots \\
B_q\n\end{bmatrix}
$$
\n(14) 667

where π_{ki} ($k = 1, 2, ..., s$; $i = 0, 1, 2, ..., q$) is the number of 668 a specified type of bonds P_k ($k=1, 2, ..., s$) between the elements. If rank $\pi = s$, an isodesmic RER involves no more than ϵ_{50}

HAZMAT 5787 1–11

8 *A. Lewis et al. / Journal of Hazardous Materials xxx (2006) xxx–xxx*

 $671 \text{ } s+1$ species. Clearly, one of these species should always be B_0 ⁶⁷² while the remaining *s* species are selected from the list of *q* ref-⁶⁷³ erence species. If the *s* reference species involved in a RER are $B_{i_1}, B_{i_2}, \ldots, B_{i_s}$ ($1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_s \leq q$) the general equation ⁶⁷⁵ of an isodesmic RER is [\[36\]:](#page-10-5)

$$
\begin{array}{ll} 676 & \rho(B_0, B_{i_1}, B_{i_2}, \ldots, B_{i_s}) \\ & \vert \end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{c}\n\pi_{01} & \pi_{02} & \dots & \pi_{0s} & B_0 \\
\pi_{i_1,1} & \pi_{i_1,2} & \dots & \pi_{i_1,s} & B_{i_1} \\
\pi_{i_2,1} & \pi_{i_2,2} & \dots & \pi_{i_2,s} & B_{i_2} \\
\dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\
\pi_{i_s,1} & \pi_{i_s,2} & \dots & \pi_{i_s,s} & B_{i_s}\n\end{array} = 0
$$
\n(15)

⁶⁷⁹ Similar equations are valid for the enthalpy changes of the ⁶⁸⁰ isodesmic RERs expressed via the enthalpies of formation of the ⁶⁸¹ species:

$$
\begin{bmatrix}\n\pi_{01} & \pi_{02} & \dots & \pi_{0s} & \Delta H_{f,0}^{ai} \\
\pi_{i_1,1} & \pi_{i_1,2} & \dots & \pi_{i_1,s} & \Delta H_{f,i_1}^{ext} \\
\pi_{i_2,1} & \pi_{i_2,2} & \dots & \pi_{i_2,s} & \Delta H_{f,i_2}^{exp} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\pi_{i_s,1} & \pi_{i_s,2} & \dots & \pi_{i_s,s} & \Delta H_{f,i_s}^{exp}\n\end{bmatrix}
$$
\n(16)

⁶⁸³ and the total ab initio enthalpies at 298 K

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\text{sea} \quad \Delta H_{\rho}^{ai} &= \begin{vmatrix}\n\pi_{01} & \pi_{02} & \dots & \pi_{0s} & H_0^{ai} \\
\pi_{i_1,1} & \pi_{i_1,2} & \dots & \pi_{i_1,s} & H_{i_1}^{ai} \\
\pi_{i_2,1} & \pi_{i_2,2} & \dots & \pi_{i_2,s} & H_{i_2}^{ai} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\pi_{i_s,1} & \pi_{i_s,2} & \dots & \pi_{i_s,s} & H_{i_s}^{ai}\n\end{vmatrix}\n\end{aligned}\n\tag{17}
$$

 ϵ_{685} For a certain isodesmic RER the enthalpy of formation of B_0 is ⁶⁸⁶ evaluated by solving the equation $\Delta H_{\rho}^{\text{f}} = \Delta H_{\rho}^{ai}$ for $\Delta H_{\text{f},0}^{ai}$. The

final enthalpy of formation of B_0 is determined as the average 687 over a complete set of isodesmic RERs.

As an example, consider the evaluation of the ab initio 689 enthalpy of formation of C_2N_{10} . The structural formula of this 690 species as well as a set of possible reference species is presented 691 in [Fig. 1.](#page-7-0) As can be seen C_2N_{10} involves five types of bonds, 692 namely, C–N, C=N, N–N, N=N and N≡N. The simplest species \sim 693 that involve the last three types of bonds are hydrazine (N_2H_4) , 694 diazene (N_2H_2) and hydrogen azide (HN₃). Since these species 695 also involve the bond N–H, it is necessary to add at least one $\frac{696}{696}$ reference species that involve this type of bond, e.g., ammo- 697 nia ($NH₃$). The only species that involve the bonds C–N and 698 $C=N$ and for which accurate thermochemical data are available \sim 699 are methanimine (CH₃N), pyridine (C₅H₅N), pyridazine, 1,3- $\frac{700}{200}$ diazine $(C_4H_4N_2)$ and 1,3,5-triazine $(C_3H_3N_3)$. The last three τ_{01} species involve additionally, C=C and C-H bonds that can be 702 balanced with benzene (C_6H_6) . Thus, the isodesmic reaction τ_{03} scheme for C_2H_{10} involves 10 reference species and a total of π_{04} nine types of bonds as shown in [Fig. 1.](#page-7-0) $\frac{1}{705}$

It is important to note that there have been very few investi- ⁷⁰⁶ gations involving C_2N_{10} . To the authors' knowledge this species $\frac{707}{707}$ has not been isolated in the laboratory and, therefore, no exper-
 $\frac{1}{208}$ imental data exists for it. In addition, there were limited exper-
 $\frac{709}{200}$ imental gas-phase thermochemical data available for the refer-
 $\frac{710}{2}$ ence species. In particular, the experimental formation enthalpy $_{711}$ for CH₃N has an error bar associated with it of $+8$ kcal/mol. 712 Although the current investigation does not examine the effect $_{713}$ of the complete error range, it will be considered in future work. ⁷¹⁴ For the compound, N_2H_4 , there were multiple experimental τ ¹⁵ formation enthalpies available from the NIST–JANAF thermo- ⁷¹⁶ chemical database [\[37,38\]](#page-10-0) and the most recently investigated in $_{717}$ the literature was used in the calculations for the current work. 718

The bond matrix generated based on this selection of refer-
 $\frac{719}{2}$ ence species is presented in [Table 2.](#page-8-1) It may be easily checked $\frac{720}{200}$ that the rank of the bond matrix is equal to 8 and, consequently, $\frac{721}{221}$ only 8 types of bonds from a total of 9 are linearly independent. $\frac{722}{2}$

Fig. 1. Reference species used for the formation reactions of the compound, 3,6-di(azido)-1,2,4,5-tetrazine (C_2N_{10}) .

A. Lewis et al. / Journal of Hazardous Materials xxx (2006) xxx–xxx 9

723 Further, a RER involves no more than $8 + 1 = 9$ species, one of which should be C_2N_{10} . The remaining 8 species may be selected from a total of 10 reference species in 10!/8!/2! = 45 ways, i.e., the total number of isodesmic RERs does not exceed 45 and can be generated using Eq. (5). In reality, due to a specific stoichiometric structure of the system, only four RER out of 45 are stoichiometrically distinct. These are,

$$
{}_{730} \quad 3N_2H_4 + 4C_3H_3N_3 + 3N_2H_2 + 6HN_3
$$
\n
$$
\rightarrow 6NH_3 + 6CH_3N + 3C_2N_{10}
$$
\n
$$
{}_{732} \quad 3N_2H_4 + 8C_4H_4N_2 + 3N_2H_2 + 6HN_3
$$
\n
$$
\rightarrow 6NH_3 + 6CH_3N + 4C_5H_5N + 3C_2N_{10}
$$
\n
$$
{}_{736} \quad 3N_2H_4 + 6C_4H_4N_2 + 3N_2H_2 + 6HN_3
$$
\n
$$
\rightarrow 6NH_3 + 6CH_3N + 2C_6H_6 + 3C_2N_{10}
$$
\n(20)

Experimental enthalpies of formation of the reference species and the total ab initio enthalpies of the species at 298 K

CH ₃ N	$\boldsymbol{0}$	0	$\boldsymbol{0}$	1	0	$\mathbf{1}$	
N_2H_2	$\boldsymbol{0}$	1	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\overline{2}$	
HN ₃	1	1	$\mathbf{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	1	
N_2H_4	0	$\boldsymbol{0}$	1	0	$\boldsymbol{0}$	4	
NH ₃	$\mathbf{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\mathbf{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	3	
^a Pyridazine. b 1,3-Diazine.							
Further, a RER involves no more than $8 + 1 = 9$ species, one					$3N_2H_4 + 12C_5H_5N$		
of which should be C_2N_{10} . The remaining 8 species may be selected from a total of 10 reference species in $10!/8!/2! = 45$					\rightarrow 6NH ₃ + 6CH ₃		
ways, i.e., the total number of isodesmic RERs does not exceed 45 and can be generated using Eq. (5). In reality, due to a					It should be noticed t		
specific stoichiometric structure of the system, only four RER					brutto-formula C ₄ H		
out of 45 are stoichiometrically distinct. These are,					and 1,3-diazine, only Once a complete s		
$3N_2H_4 + 4C_3H_3N_3 + 3N_2H_2 + 6HN_3$				mation of C_2N_{10} ma			
(18) \rightarrow 6NH ₃ + 6CH ₃ N + 3C ₂ N ₁₀					described above. The chemical data along		
					put data is presented		
$3N_2H_4 + 8C_4H_4N_2 + 3N_2H_2 + 6HN_3$					of formation of C_2N		
(19) \rightarrow 6NH ₃ + 6CH ₃ N + 4C ₅ H ₅ N + 3C ₂ N ₁₀					RERs are: 739.042,		
					respectively, that giv		
$3N_2H_4 + 6C_4H_4N_2 + 3N_2H_2 + 6HN_3$					5. Concluding rem		
		\rightarrow 6NH ₃ + 6CH ₃ N + 2C ₆ H ₆ + 3C ₂ N ₁₀		(20)			
					Registration, eva		
Table 3					(REACH) represents		
Experimental enthalpies of formation of the reference species and the total ab					for chemicals propo		
initio enthalpies of the species at 298 K					protect human healt		
Species		$\Delta H_{\text{f},i}^{\text{exp}}$ (kcal/mol) ^a		$H_{\text{f},i}^{ai}$ (Hartrees)	impact assessment REACH will be of th		
C_2N_{10}	\boldsymbol{x}			-622.1821363	above considerations		
C_6H_6	19.8			-232.0416795	advocating the devel		
C_5H_5N	33.5			-248.0839516	systematic incorpora		
$C_4H_4N_2^b$	66.5			-264.0935147	assisted risk assessm		
$C_4H_4N_2^c$	46.7			-264.1293679			
$C_3H_3N_3$	53.9			-280.1779842	into REACH to redu		
CH ₃ N	16.5			-94.5512141	to the proposed ap		
N_2H_2	50.7 ^d			-110.5625233	computer-aided mol		
HN ₃	71.6 ^e			-164.6974577	computationally gen		
N_2H_4 NH ₃	22.8 -10.9			-111.7022568 -56.5885915	thermochemical, and		
					of chemicals, with		
^a Ref [39].					and notentially haza		

^b Pyridazine.

^c 1,3-Diazine.

 d Ref [\[40\].](#page-10-6)

 e Ref [\[41\].](#page-10-7)

It should be noticed that from two different species with the same $\frac{741}{241}$ brutto-formula $C_4H_4N_2$ but different structures, i.e., pyridazine 742 and 1,3-diazine, only the second appears in the isodesmic RERs. 743

Once a complete set of RERs is available, the enthalpy of for- ⁷⁴⁴ mation of C_2N_{10} may be readily evaluated using the formalism $_{745}$ described above. The necessary experimental gas-phase thermo- ⁷⁴⁶ chemical data along with the ab initio-generated gas-phase out- ⁷⁴⁷ put data is presented in [Table 3. U](#page-8-9)sing these data, the enthalpies $_{748}$ of formation of C_2N_{10} obtained from the above four isodesmic π_{49} RERs are: 739.042, 744.493, 743.444 and 740.296 kcal/mol, 750 respectively, that gives an average value of 741.819 kcal/mol. $\frac{751}{751}$

5. Concluding remarks 752

Registration, evaluation and authorization of chemicals ⁷⁵³ (REACH) represents a recent regulatory and policy framework ⁷⁵⁴ for chemicals proposed by the European union commission to $\frac{755}{255}$ protect human health and the environment. The commission's 756 impact assessment studies estimate that the direct costs of 757 REACH will be of the order of $3-5$ billion Euros. In light of the 758 above considerations, a few ideas and thoughts were presented $\frac{759}{259}$ advocating the development of a framework that allows for the 760 systematic incorporation of molecular modeling and computerassisted risk assessment methods of hazards posed by chemicals 762 into REACH to reduce regulatory compliance costs. According 763 to the proposed approach, currently available and powerful 764 computer-aided molecular modeling techniques can be used to $\frac{765}{65}$ computationally generate predictions of key (thermo)physical, ⁷⁶⁶ thermochemical, and toxicological properties of wide classes 767 of chemicals, without resorting to costly experimentation ⁷⁶⁸ and potentially hazardous testing. The above computationally 769 generated data could be integrated into a centralized IT decision 770 and compliance support system. To illustrate the proposed 771 approach, a molecular modeling investigation was presented 772

738

ARTICLE IN PRES

10 *A. Lewis et al. / Journal of Hazardous Materials xxx (2006) xxx–xxx*

 as an example. The investigation involved the theoretical for- mation enthalpy prediction for the novel heterocyclic nitrogen compound, 3,6-di(azido)-1,2,4,5-tetrazine (C₂N₁₀), that might have promise as a stable HEDM. Stability calculations involving nitrogen-containing HEDMs of this type require prior thermo- chemical knowledge, such as formation enthalpies. Due to the potential instability of these compounds, very few experimental studies are available. It is quite possible that molecular mod- eling investigations will serve as the bridge to understanding the behaviour and activity of these types of compounds. This knowledge can then be applied to methods involving their safe handling and storage, as well as their registration under REACH.

⁷⁸⁵ **Acknowledgements**

Hopen [C](http://europa.eu.int/comm/enterprise/chemicals/chempol/whitepaper/reach.htm)onstraints (1970) (1971 and the same of the same of the constraints of the same of The present research work was presented at the 2005 Pro- cess Safety Symposium, Mary Kay O'Connor Process Safety Center, Department of Chemical Engineering, Texas A&M Uni- versity, College Station, Texas. The authors would like to thank the Center's Director Professor S. Mannan and its staff for the kind invitation and wonderful hospitality. They would also like to thank the Boston University Scientific Computing Group for supercomputer time under aMariner contract on the IBM pSeries 690 (Regatta) and the IBM pSeries 655 systems. Financial sup- port from the National Science Foundation through grant CTS-9403432 is gratefully acknowledged by Nikolaos Kazantzis.

⁷⁹⁷ **References**

- ⁷⁹⁸ [1] Commission of the European Communities, White Paper: Strategy for ⁷⁹⁹ a Future Chemicals Policy, COM. 88 (2001), CEC, Brussels.
- ⁸⁰⁰ [2] Commission of the European Communities, Consultation Document ⁸⁰¹ Concerning the Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation and Restric-⁸⁰² tions of Chemicals (2003), CEC, Brussels. (http://europa.eu.int/comm/ ⁸⁰³ [enterprise/chemicals/chempol/whitepaper/reach.htm,](http://europa.eu.int/comm/enterprise/chemicals/chempol/whitepaper/reach.htm) and http://europa. ⁸⁰⁴ [eu.int/comm/environment/chemicals/reach.htm](http://europa.eu.int/comm/environment/chemicals/reach.htm)).
- ⁸⁰⁵ [3] K. Geiser, J.A. Tickner, New Directions in European Chemicals Policies: ⁸⁰⁶ Drivers, Scope and Status, Report (2003) University of Massachusetts at ⁸⁰⁷ Lowell, Center for Sustainable Production, Chemicals Policy Initiative, ⁸⁰⁸ Lowell, MA (http://www.chemicalspolicy.org).
- ⁸⁰⁹ [4] J.A. Tickner, K. Geiser, M. Coffin, The US experience in promoting ⁸¹⁰ sustainable chemistry, Eviron. Sci. Pollut. Res. 12 (2005) 115.
- ⁸¹¹ [5] B. Sirull, Prepare now for REACH compliance, Chem. Eng. Prog. 101 ⁸¹² (2005) 45.
- ⁸¹³ [6] Commission of the European Communities, Extended Impact Sssess-⁸¹⁴ ment, SEC. 1171/3 (2003), Working paper, Brussels.
- ⁸¹⁵ [7] F. Pedersen, J. de Bruijn, S. Munn, A. Worth, K. Van Leeuwen, The ⁸¹⁶ Cost-Saving Potential of QSARs, JRC report (2003) Joint Research ⁸¹⁷ Centre, European Chemicals Bureau, European Commission, Directorate ⁸¹⁸ General, Brussels.
- ⁸¹⁹ [8] F. Pedersen, J. de Bruijn, S. Munn, A. Worth, K. Van Leeuwen, Assess-⁸²⁰ ment of Additional Testing Needs Under REACH: Effects of (Q)SARs, ⁸²¹ Risk-Based Testing and Voluntary Industry Initiatives, JRC report (2003) ⁸²² Joint Research Centre, European Chemicals Bureau, European Commis-⁸²³ sion, Directorate General, Brussels.
- ⁸²⁴ [9] S.R. Saraf, W.J. Rogers, M.S. Mannan, Prediction of reactive hazards ⁸²⁵ based on molecular structure, J. Hazard. Mater. 98A (2002) 15.
- ⁸²⁶ [10] S.R. Saraf, W.J. Rogers, D.M. Ford, M.S. Mannan, Integrating molecular ⁸²⁷ modeling and process safety research, Fluid Phase Equilib. 222–223 ⁸²⁸ (2004) 205.
- ⁸²⁹ [11] D. Pearce, P. Koundouri, Regulatory assessment for chemicals: a rapid ⁸³⁰ appraisal cost-benefit approach, Environ. Sci. Pollut. 7 (2004) 435.
- [12] M.T.D. Cronin, J.D. Walker, J.S. Jaworska, M.H.I. Comber, C.D. Watts, ⁸³¹ A.P. Worth, Use of QSARs in international decision-making frame- ⁸³² works to predict ecologic effects and environmental fate of chemical 833 substances, Environ. Health Perspect. 111 (2003) 1376. 834
- [13] M.T.D. Cronin, J.S. Jaworska, J.D. Walker, M.H.I. Comber, C.D. Watts, 835 A.P. Worth, Use of QSARs in international decision-making frameworks 836 to predict health effects of chemical substances, Environ. Health Per- ⁸³⁷ spect. 111 (2003) 1391. 838
- [14] L. Eriksson, J. Jaworska, A.P. Worth, M.T.D. Cronin, R.M. McDowell, 839 P. Gramatica, Methods for reliability and uncertainty assessment and for 840 applicability evaluations of classification- and regression-based QSARs, ⁸⁴¹ Environ. Health Perspect. 111 (2003) 1361. 842
- [15] C. Bruneton, C. Hoff, P.I. Barton, Computer-aided identification of 843 chemical reaction hazards, Comput. Chem. Eng. 21 (1997) 311. ⁸⁴⁴
- [16] European Chemicals Bureau, Newsletter, Institute for Health and Con-
845 sumer Protection, Joint Research Centre, Ispra, Italy, 2005. 846
- [17] B. Wahlstrom, The Need for New Strategies: The OECD Existing 847 Chemicals Program, in The Use of QSARs for Chemicals Screening- ⁸⁴⁸ Limitations and Possibilities, Report 8/1988 (1988) National Chemicals 849 Inspectorate, Stockholm, Sweden. 850
- [18] J.S. Jaworska, M. Comber, C. Auer, C.J. Van Leeuwen, Summary of a 851 workshop on regulatory acceptance of (Q)SARS for human health and 852 environmental end-points, Environ. Health Perspect. 111 (2003) 1358. 853
- [19] L.A. Curtiss, K. Raghavachari, P.C. Redfern, V. Rassolov, J.A. Pople, 854 Gaussian-3 (G3) theory for molecules containing first- and second-row 855 atoms, J. Chem. Phys. 109 (1998) 7764. 856
- [20] W.J. Hehre, R. Ditchfield, L. Radom, J.A. Pople, Molecular orbital the- ⁸⁵⁷ ory of the electronic structure of organic compounds. IV. Internal rotation ⁸⁵⁸ in hydrocarbons using a minimal slater-type basis, J*.* Am. Chem. Soc. ⁸⁵⁹ 92 (1970) 4796. 860
- [21] M.J. Frisch, G.W. Trucks, H.B. Schlegel, G.E. Scuseria, M.A. Robb, 861 J.R. Cheeseman, J.A. Montgomery Jr., T. Vreven, K.N. Kudin, J.C. ⁸⁶² Burant, J.M. Millam, S.S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, ⁸⁶³ M. Cossi, G. Scalmani, N. Rega, G.A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, M. ⁸⁶⁴ Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. ⁸⁶⁵ Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, M. Klene, X. Li, J.E. Knox, ⁸⁶⁶ H.P. Hratchian, J.B. Cross, V. Bakken, C. Adamo, J. Jaramillo, R. Gom- ⁸⁶⁷ perts, R.E. Stratmann, O. Yazyev, A.J. Austin, R. Cammi, C. Pomelli, 868 J.W. Ochterski, P.Y. Ayala, K. Morokuma, G.A. Voth, P. Salvador, J.J. ⁸⁶⁹ Dannenberg, V.G. Zakrzewski, S. Dapprich, A.D. Daniels, M.C. Strain, 870 O. Farkas, D.K. Malick, A.D. Rabuck, K. Raghavachari, J.B. Foresman, ⁸⁷¹ J.V. Ortiz, Q. Cui, A.G. Baboul, S. Clifford, J. Cioslowski, B.B. Ste- ⁸⁷² fanov, G. Liu, A. Liashenko, P. Piskorz, I. Komaromi, R.L. Martin, D.J. ⁸⁷³ Fox, T. Keith, M.A. Al-Laham, C.Y. Peng, A. Nanayakkara, M. Challa- ⁸⁷⁴ combe, P.M.W. Gill, B. Johnson, W. Chen, M.W. Wong, C. Gonzalez, ⁸⁷⁵ J.A. Pople, Gaussian 03, Revision C.02, Gaussian, Inc, Wallingford CT, 876 2004. ⁸⁷⁷
- [22] S. Hammerum, Heats of formation and proton affinities by the G3 878 method, Chem. Phys. Lett. 300 (1999) 529. 879
- [23] L.A. Curtiss, K. Raghavachari, J.A. Pople, Gaussian-2 theory using 880 reduced Moller-Plesset orders, J. Phys. Chem. 98 (1993) 1293. 881
- [24] B.J. Smith, L. Radom, Calculation of proton affinities using the G2(MP2, 882 SVP) procedure, J. Phys. Chem. 99 (1995) 6468. 883
- [25] L.A. Curtiss, P. Redfern, B.J. Smith, L. Radom, Gaussian-2 (G2) theory: ⁸⁸⁴ reduced basis set requirements, J. Chem. Phys. 104 (1996) 5148. 885
- [26] A.G. Baboul, L.A. Curtiss, P. Redfern, K. Raghavachari, Gaussian-3 886 theory using density functional geometries and zero-point energies, J. 887 Chem. Phys. 110 (1999) 7650. 888
- [27] P. Pyykkö, Relativistic effects in structural chemistry, Chem. Rev. 88 889 (1988) 563. ⁸⁹⁰
- [28] R. Krishnan, J.A. Pople, Approximate fourth-order perturbation theory 891 of the electron correlation energy, Int. J. Quant. Chem. 14 (1978) 91. ⁸⁹²
- [29] L.A. Curtiss, P.C. Redfern, D.J. Frurip, Theoretical methods for com- 893 puting enthalpies of formation of gaseous compounds, Rev. Comput. 894 Chem. 15 (2000) 147. 895
- [30] W.J. Hehre, R.T. McIver, J.A. Pople, P.V.R. Schleyer, Alkyl substituent 896 effects on the stability of protonated benzene, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 96 897 (1974) 7162. ⁸⁹⁸

- ⁸⁹⁹ [31] K. Raghavachari, B.B. Stefanov, L.A. Curtiss, Accurate thermochemistry ⁹⁰⁰ for larger molecules: Gaussian-2 theory with bond separation energies, ⁹⁰¹ J. Chem. Phys. 106 (1997) 6764.
- ⁹⁰² [32] S.M. Bachrach, The group equivalent reaction: an improved method for ⁹⁰³ determining ring strain energy, J. Chem. Educ. 67 (2000) 907.
- ⁹⁰⁴ [33] I. Fishtik, R. Datta, Group additivity versus ab Initio, J. Phys. Chem. A ⁹⁰⁵ 107 (2003) 6698.
- ⁹⁰⁶ [34] R. Sivaramakrishnan, R. Tranter, K. Brezinsky, Ring conserved ⁹⁰⁷ isodesmic reactions: a new method for estimating the heats of for-⁹⁰⁸ mation of aromatics and PAHs, J. Phys. Chem. A 109 (2005) ⁹⁰⁹ 1621.
- ⁹¹⁰ [35] I. Fishtik, I. Gutman, I. Nagypal, Response reactions in chemical ther-⁹¹¹ modynamics, J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans. 92 (2003) 3525.
- I. R. Liebnan, Group additivity methods in terms of
Phys. Chem. A 107 (2003) 695.
Thys. Chem. A 107 (2003) 695. ⁹¹² [36] I. Fishtik, R. Datta, J.F. Liebman, Group additivity methods in terms of response reactions, J. Phys. Chem. A 107 (2003) 695.
- [37] C. Willis, F.P. Lossing, R.A. Back, The heat of formation of N_2H_2 and 913 the proton affinity of N_2 , Can. J. Chem. 54 (1976) 1. 914
- [38] L.G. Cole, E.C. Gilbert, The heats of combustion of some nitrogen 915 compounds and the apparent energy of the N–N bond, J. Am. Chem. ⁹¹⁶ Soc. 73 (1951) 5423. 917
- [39] H.Y. Afeefy, J.F. Liebman, S.E. Stein, in: P.J. Linstrom, W.G. ⁹¹⁸ Mallard (Eds.), Neutral Thermochemical Data in NIST Chemistry ⁹¹⁹ Webbook, NIST Standard Reference Database Number 69, National ⁹²⁰ Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg MD, 2005, ⁹²¹ http://www.webbook.nist.gov/.
- [40] S.N. Foner, R.L. Hudson, On the heat of formation of diimide, J. Chem. 923 Phys. 68 (1978) 3162. 924
- [41] B.L. Evans, A.D. Yoffe, P. Gray, Physics and chemistry of the inorganic 925 azides, in: R.F. Walker, H.D. Fair (Eds.), Energetic Materials, 1959, p. ⁹²⁶ $515.$ 927